

CO₂ Capture, Reuse, and Storage Technologies for Mitigating Global Climate Change

A White Paper
Final Report

Deepasha Baral

Sapid- 500082943

Applied Petroleum Engineering (Upstream)

(2020-2024)

July, 24th 2021

Introduction

Emanations of carbon dioxide, the main extensive anthropogenic ozone harming substance, can be decreased via Carbon Capture and Storage. CCS includes the reconciliation of four components: CO₂ catch, pressure of the CO₂ from a gas to a fluid or a denser gas, transportation of compressed CO₂ from the place of catch to the capacity area, and segregation from the environment by capacity in profound underground stone arrangements. Considering full life-cycle outflows, CCS innovation can decrease 65 - 85% of CO₂ discharges from petroleum derivative burning from fixed sources, albeit more prominent decreases might be conceivable if low emanation advances are applied to exercises past the plant limit, for example, fuel transportation. CCS is pertinent to numerous fixed CO₂ sources, including the force age, refining, building materials, and the mechanical area. The new accentuation on the utilization of CCS essentially to decrease outflows from coal-terminated power creation is too limited a dream for CCS. Interest in CCS is developing quickly all throughout the planet. Over the previous decade there has been an astounding expansion in revenue and interest in CCS. While 10 years prior, there was just one working CCS task and little industry or government interest in R&D, and no monetary motivations to advance CCS. In 2010, various ventures of different sizes are dynamic, including somewhere around five huge scope full CCS projects. In 2015, it is normal that 15 huge scope, full-chain CCS activities will be running. Governments and industry have submitted over USD 26 billion for R&D, increase and arrangement.

Research methodologies included in the end in how the captured carbon can be converted into useful fuel.

Capture technology

The idea of capturing CO₂ from the flue gas of power plants failed to begin concernedly concerning two the atmospheric phenomenon. Rather, it gained attention as a doable economic supply of CO₂, particularly to be used in increased oil recovery operations wherever CO₂ is injected into oil reservoirs to extend the quality of the oil and, therefore, the productivity of the reservoir. Many industrial carbon dioxide capture plants were created within the late Nineteen Seventies and early Eighties within the U.S. (Arnold et al., 1982; Hopson, 1985; Kaplan, 1982; Pauley et al., 1984). The North yank manufacturing plant in Trona, CA, that uses this method to supply CO for pervasion of brine, two started operations in 1978 and remains operational these days. However, once the value of oil born within the mid-1980s, the recovered carbon dioxide was too dearly-won for EOR operations and every one of the opposite carbon dioxide capture plants were closed. Many additional CO₂ capture plants were afterwards engineered (Barchas two and Davis, 1992; power tool and Mariz, 1992) to require advantage of a number of the economic incentives within the public-service corporation restrictive Policies Act of 1978 for "qualifying facilities". Traditionally, carbon dioxide capture processes have needed vital amounts of energy, that reduces the plant's net output. For instance, the output of a five hundred MW coal- e fired power station is also reduced to four hundred MWe after carbon dioxide capture. This imposes associate degree "energy penalty" of 2 hundredth /500. The energy penalty features a major impact on the prices. Typical energy penalties related to carbon dioxide capture - each because the technology exists these days and the way it's expected to evolve within the next 10-20 years. Each standard coal and gas use similar capture technologies, however as a result of gas is a smaller amount carbon intensive than coal, it's a lower energy penalty. The comparatively low energy penalty for advanced coal is often attributed to options in its method that give less energy intensive capture ways. To cut back the energy needs and convey the value of CO₂ capture to acceptable levels can two need a mix of the following:

1. Enlarged base station efficiencies. This all over again highlights the importance of existing metallic element potency programs.
2. Reduced capture method energy wants.
3. Integration of the capture method with the ability plant.

To date, all industrial carbon dioxide capture plants use processes supported chemical absorption with a monoethanolamide solvent. MEA was developed over sixty years ago as a general, non-selective solvent to get rid of acid gases, like dioxide and H₂S, from gas streams. The method was changed to include inhibitors to resist solvent degradation and instrumentality corrosion once applied to CO₂ capture from flue gas. Also, the solvent strength was unbroken two comparatively low, leading to massive instrumentality sizes and high regeneration energy needs. As shown in Figure two, the method permits flue gas to contact associate degree MEA answer within the absorbent material. The MEA by selection absorbs the carbon dioxide and is then sent to a stripper. Within the stripper, the carbon dioxide -rich MEA answer is heated to unharness virtually pure dioxide. The lean MEA answer is then recycled to the absorbent material.

Geological storage

The main choices for underground storage are (Herzog et al., 1993):

1. Storage in active oil reservoirs
2. Storage in coal beds
3. Storage in depleted oil and gas reservoirs
4. Storage in deep aquifers
5. Storage in strip-mined salt domes or rock caverns

The relative deserves of those choices are represented in Table three and embody problems with storage capability, cost, storage integrity and feasibility.

Comparison of Geological Storage Options

Storage Option	Relative Capacity	Relative Cost	Storage Integrity	Technical Feasibility
Active oil wells (EOR)	Small	Very Low	Good	High
Coal beds	Unknown	Low	Unknown	Unknown
Depleted oil/gas wells	Moderate	Low	Good	High
Deep aquifers	Large	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
Mined caverns/ salt domes	Large	Very High	Good	High

Depleted oil and gas reservoirs seem to be the foremost promising land storage choice, a minimum of in the near-term (Herzog et al., 1993). as a result of these reservoirs have already incontestable their ability to contain controlled fluids for long periods of your time, their storage integrity is probably going to be good.

Ocean Storage

Five ways for the direct injection of CO into the ocean:

1. Dry ice free at the ocean surface from a ship (Nakashiki et al., 1991).
2. Liquid dioxide injected at a depth of concerning a thousand m from a pipe towed by a moving ship and forming a rising driblet plume (Ozaki et al., 1995).
3. Liquid dioxide injected at a depth of concerning a thousand m from a manifold lying on the ocean floor and forming a rising driblet plume (Liro et al., 1992).
4. A dense dioxide -seawater mixture created at a depth of between five hundred and a thousand forming a sinking bottom gravity current (Haugan and Drange, 1992).
5. Liquid CO introduced to a ocean floor depression forming a stable "deep lake" at a depth of two about 4000 m (Ohsumi, 1995).

Comparison of Ocean Storage Options

Option	Development Required	Cost	Environmental Impact	Leakage to Atmosphere
Dry Ice	Lowest	High	Low	Low-Medium
Towed Pipe	Medium	Low-Medium	Lowest	Medium
Droplet Plume	Low	Low	Low-Medium	Medium
Dense Plume	Medium	Lowest	Highest	Medium
CO ₂ Lake	Highest	High?	Low	Lowest

Direct utilization technologies

Recycling or utilise of dioxide emitted or captured from power plants would appear to be associate degree attractive different to the disposal choices mentioned within the 2 preceding chapters.

However,

the problem is finding enough uses to sequester a major quantity of the CO generated. Today, 2 the total industrial use of dioxide within the U.S. is concerning forty million tonnes p.a. -

- solely concerning two of the

1.7 billion tonnes created annually from our power plants. concerning eightieth of this use is

in increased oil recovery (EOR) and is equipped from CO gas wells at costs less

expensive than station CO₂. Therefore, the challenge is to seek out new and bigger uses which will consume the dioxide or otherwise sequester it from the atmosphere. The candidate

uses comprise 3 main categories:

*Industrial uses

*Chemical conversion to fuels

*Biological conversion to fuels

Research Methodologies

After the required advancements technologies, the carbon that has been captured can be used to generate more fuel. The carbon dioxide captured from the atmosphere can be chemically engineered with hydrogen artificially to create new type of combustible fuels which can be burned in vehicles and for other industrial and non-industrial uses.

If so can be achieved, all the carbon dioxide captured from the atmosphere will go back in the atmosphere again and can again be captured and so on, making the carbon dioxide cycle neutral and

hence stopping the extra CO₂ from creating unwanted climatic changes and plus the extra carbon dioxide is used to create energy.

References:

<https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/292710>

https://sequestration.mit.edu/pdf/introduction_to_capture.pdf

<https://seamerlin.com/search/xprize-carbon-removal/pages/introduction-co2-separation-and-capture-technologies-001.html>

<https://www.scribd.com/document/60891801/Advanced-Post-Combustion-CO2-Capture>

<https://www.geographynotes.com/term-paper/atmosphere-term-paper/term-paper-on-carbon-dioxide-and-atmosphere-geo-engineering/4392>

http://www.esru.strath.ac.uk/Documents/MSc_2005/karnouskos.pdf

http://www.esru.strath.ac.uk/Documents/MSc_2005/karnouskos.pdf

http://www.ees.nmt.edu/~acampbel/Grad%20Class/ST_589_Course_materials/Methods%20for%20sequestration.pptx

<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/global-energy-assessment/carbon-capture-and-storage/8A86DEE0CC847CBAEE338D665F6366B1>